# Mackey Analogy in Periodic Cyclic Homology

Axel Gastaldi, I2M

### I) Setup

Let *G* be a Lie group over  $\mathbb{R}$ , and *A* a complex Fréchet algebra endowed with a smooth action of *G*. We define *the crossed product of A by G* as the following convolution algebra:

$$A \rtimes G := \mathcal{C}^{\infty}_{c}(G, A) \quad (f_1 \star f_2)(g) = \int_{G} f_1(h)h \cdot f_2(h^{-1}g)dh \in A.$$

Crossed products are powerful algebraic tools to understand covariant representations of the pair (G, A).

To study  $A \rtimes G$ , we will use periodic cyclic homology (HP), see [Lod] for more details. HP is a  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -graded theory such that :  $\rightarrow$  If *M* is a compact manifold:

$$HP_0(\mathcal{C}^{\infty}(M)) = H_{DR}^{\text{even}}(M)$$
$$HP_1(\mathcal{C}^{\infty}(M)) = H_{DR}^{\text{odd}}(M)$$

 $\rightarrow$  It corresponds to the codomain of the Chern character:

$$K_i(A) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{Ch.}} HP_i(A)$$

which leads to a paining  $\langle -, - \rangle : K \times HP \to \mathbb{C}$ .  $\rightarrow$  It is computed using a  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -graded complex:

$$\widehat{CC}(A) \Rightarrow HP(A) = HP(\widehat{CC}(A))$$

*Question:* Description of  $HP(A \rtimes G)$ ?

#### **II)** Expectencies and results:

*Theorem:* (Green, 1976, [Gre])  $\forall H < G$  closed subgroup:

$$A \rtimes H \sim_{\text{Morita}} \mathcal{C}_0(G/H, A) \rtimes G.$$

In other words, the understanding of the crossed product by a subgroup corresponds to the understanding of the associated homogeneous space. When K < G is a maximal compact sugroup,  $G/K \sim \mathbb{R}^q$  is contractible so one may expect to obtain results relating G and the maximal compact subgroup K.

Theorem: (Nistor, 1993, [Nis])  $\forall x \in G : HP_*(A \rtimes G)_x \simeq HP_{\star+q}(A \rtimes K)_x.$ 

The subscript means that we localize at a conjugacy class of G (see [Bur] for the discrete case). More presidely,  $C(A \rtimes G)_x$  corresponds to the subcomplex of smooth complactly supported functions lying on tuples of G whose product belongs to  $\langle x \rangle$ . It is the localization of the complex  $C(A \rtimes G)$  through the prime ideal of vanishing functions at x of the algebra of central functions in G.

*Remark:* In particular, the theorem proves that  $HP_*(A \rtimes G)_x \simeq 0$  for any element x that doesn't belong to any maximal complact subgroup. One can recover informations about orbital integrals in this framework (see [BB] for Selberg principle).

*Problem:* There isn't any copatibility of these "local" isomorphisms while changing conjugacy classes. It comes from the fact that the centralizers  $G_x$  are do not deform

continuously with respect to x (even jumps of dimensions sometimes).

Theorem: (G., Work in progress 2025) There exists a canonical isomorphism :

$$HP_{\star}(A \rtimes G) \simeq HP_{\star+n}(A \rtimes K).$$

*Ideas of the proof:* 

 $\rightarrow$  V. Nistor proposed the idea to replace the periodic complex  $\widehat{CC}(A \rtimes G)$  by a *G*-acyclic resolution, and then take coinvariants.

$$L(G, A) := (L_n(G, A))_{n \ge 0}$$
$$L_n(G, A) := \mathcal{C}_c^{\infty}(G, (\mathcal{C}_c^{\infty}(G, A), \widetilde{\star})^{\otimes_{\pi} n+1})$$

Where  $\tilde{\star}$  is a product on the space  $C_c^{\infty}(G, A)$  which differ from the classical convolution. See [Pus] and [Nis] for more details. We have an action of G on this graded vector space:

$$(g \cdot \varphi)(\gamma, g_0, \cdots, g_n) := g^{\otimes n+1} \cdot \varphi \left(g\gamma g^{-1}, gg_0, \cdots, gg_n\right).$$

Also, this graded vector space is endowed with an increasing differential B and a decreasing differential b. It is a  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -graded vector space with the differential b + B. *Warning:* The space L(G, A) is not a cyclic object. The cyclic operator is not periodic and then  $(b + B)^2 \neq 0$ . But it is while taking coinvariants over the action of G and we have :

*Lemma:* (Nistor, 1993, [Nis])  $L(G, A)_G \simeq \widehat{CC}(A \rtimes G).$ 

 $\rightarrow$  We want to set a diagram of complexes :

$$\widehat{CC}(A\rtimes G)[q]\xleftarrow[\text{qis}}\mathfrak{B}\xrightarrow[\text{qis}]{}\widehat{CC}(A\rtimes K)$$

We would like to choose for  $\mathfrak{B}$  the  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -graded vector space  $\Omega_c^{\star}(G/K) \otimes_G L(G, A)$ , where the group G acts by translations on  $\Omega_c^{\star}(G/K)$ , and endowed it differential  $\delta = d \otimes id + id \otimes (b+B)$ . But  $\delta^2 \neq 0$ , so we need to modify this object to obtain a complex. To do that, we introduce the equivariant operator T defined as  $T(\overline{g}, x) = (\overline{xg}, x)$  on the homogeneous space G/K and the copy of G in L(G, A).

*Proposition:* We take for  $\mathfrak{B}$  the following which is a complex, i.e.  $\delta^2 = 0$ :

$$\left(\left(\left[\Omega_c^{\star}(G/K)\otimes L(G,A)\right]_{(1-T)}\right)_G, \delta=d\otimes id+id\otimes (b+B)\right).$$

• One can contract equivariantly G/K and then:

$$\left( \left[ \Omega_c^{\star}(G/k) \otimes L(G,A) \right]_{(1-T)} \right)_G \xrightarrow{\int_{G/K}} L(G,A)_G[q] \simeq \widehat{CC}(A \rtimes G)[q].$$

• One can restrict  $\mathfrak{B} \to \mathfrak{B}_{|Y}$  from  $G/K \times G$  to the submanifold  $Y = \{(\overline{g}, x) \in G/K \times G \mid x \in gKg^{-1}\}$  fixed by T. We obtain :

$$\left( \left[ \Omega_c^{\star}(G/K) \otimes L(G,A) \right]_{(1-T)} \right)_G \xrightarrow{\text{Res. to } Y} L(K,A)_K \simeq \widehat{CC}(A \rtimes K)$$

The restriction kills any element that does not belong to a maximal compact subgroup. Also, after taking G-coinvariants, any element that belongs to a certain maximal compact subgroup can be sent to K by operating a translation in the homogeneous space

G/K. Then the *G*-coinvariants kills the differentials forms with compact support and it remains only an action of *K* in L(K, A).

*Remarks:*  $\rightarrow$  The identification is *canonical* and relies only on geometrical arguments, deeply inspired from the technics of Nistor.

 $\rightarrow$  The isomorphism happens in HP but not in HC because we used the *Goodwillie's* theorem and the homotopy invariance.

We recover with this method the classical Connes-Thom isomorphism:

Corollary:  $HP_{\star}(A \rtimes \mathbb{R}^q) \simeq HP_{\star+q}(A).$ 

## **III)** Consequences for tempered representations

Let us denote by  $G_0 := K \rtimes \mathbb{R}^q$  the motion group associated to the Lie group G. In the 70's, Mackey showed (see [Mac]) that tempered irreducible unitary representations of G and irreducible unitary representations of  $G_0$  are related :

$$\widehat{G}_t \longleftrightarrow \widehat{G}_0$$

From classical algebraic constructions one may expect some links between the (reduced) crossed product algebras associated to G and  $G_0$ .

Theorem: (Mackey Analogy in HP)  $HP_{\star}(A \rtimes G) \simeq HP_{\star+q}(A \rtimes K) \simeq HP_{\star}(A \rtimes G_0).$ 

# References

[BB] P. BLANC, J-L. BRYLINSKI - Cyclic homology and the Selberg principle. (1991)

[Bur] D. BURGHELEA, The cyclic homology of the group rings. (1985)

[Gre] P. GREEN - The local structure of twisted covariance algebras (1976)

[Lod] J-L. LODAY - Cyclic homology. (1991)

[Mac] W. Mackey - The theory of unitary group representations (1976)

[Nis] V. NISTOR - Cyclic cohomology of crossed product by algebraic groups. (1991)

[Pus] M. PUSCHNIGG - Periodic cyclic homology of crossed products. (2022)